	PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY COMMITTEES					
	AME OF SCRUTINY OMMITTEE	Partnership Scrutiny				
TI	ATE OF MEETING / MESCALE FOR ONSIDERATION	13 th March 2014				
TI	TLE OF REPORT	Together for Mental Health				
	Why is the report being proposed? (see also the checklist overleaf)	To advise members of North Wales Mental He implementing Togethe	ealth Partnership on			
P U R	2. What issues are to be scrutinised?	The North Wales Mental Health Partnership and the progress to date against the required actions in Together for Mental Health				
P O S E	3. Is it necessary/desirable for witnesses to attend e.g. lead members, officers/external experts?	BCUHB colleague may be attending to present alongside Adult Services.				
	4. What will the committee achieve by considering the report?	Further detail in respect of the delivery of adult mental health services and the relationship between DCC and BCUHB in this service area				
	 Score the topic from 0 4 on aims & priorities and impact (see overleaf)* 	Aims & Priorities 4	Impact 3			
A	DDITIONAL COMMENTS					
th S	EPORTING PATH – what is ne next step? Are crutiny's recommendations be reported elsewhere?	Will be incorporated into subsequent report to cabinet.				
A	UTHOR	Phil Gilroy / Helena Th	omas			

Please complete the following checklist:

	Yes	No
Is the topic already being addressed satisfactorily?		Х
Is Scrutiny likely to result in service improvements or other measurable benefits?	X	
Does the topic concern a poor performing service or a high budgetary commitment?		Х
Are there adequate resources / realistic possibility of adequate resources to achieve the objective(s)?	X	
Is the Scrutiny activity timely, i.e. will scrutiny be able to recommend changes to the service delivery, policy, strategy, etc?		х
Is the topic linked to corporate or scrutiny aims and priorities?	Х	
Has the topic been identified as a risk in the Corporate Risk Register or is it the subject of an adverse internal audit or external regulator report?		Х

^{*}The following table is to be used to guide the scores given:

Score	Aims & Priorities	Impact
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny	No potential benefits
	aims and priorities	
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny	Minor potential benefits affecting
	aims and priorities but a	only one ward/customer/client group
	subject of high public concern	
2	Some evidence of links, but	Minor benefits to two
	indirect	groups/moderate benefits to one
3	Good evidence linking the	Moderate benefits to more than one
	topic to both aims and	group/substantial benefits to one
	priorities	
4	Strong evidence linking both	Substantial community-wide
	aims and priorities, and has a	benefits
	high level of public concern	

SCORING

Aims & Priorities

4	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately	Priority topic for Scrutiny – for urgent consideration
3		G
2	Reject topic for Scrutiny – topic to be circulated to members for information	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately
1	purposes	

0 1 2 3 4 Impact

PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS- JUNE 11/L.doc